



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,102	03/17/2000	Robert Giannini	JARB.004PA	5258

7590 06/25/2002
Crawford PLLC
1270 Northland Drive
Suite 390
St. Paul, MN 55120

EXAMINER

WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SA

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/531,102

Applicant(s)

GIANNINI ET AL.

Examiner

Steven R. Wasylchak

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/29/02.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Amendments

1. Claims 1-16 are pending.
2. Claims 1-3 and 7-11 were amended; claims 13-16 were added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Graf et al (US 6,349,300).

As per claim 1,

(Amended) A system for on-line viewing of an article on another structure, comprising:
-on-line viewer site; and a computer-driven web-linking engine configured and arranged to create an item from image-data corresponding to a colored article selected by an

Art Unit: 3624

on-line viewer from the on-line viewer site for display with an image of a colored structure selected by the on-line viewer, the computer-driven web-linking engine adapted to compare color codes identifying respective colors of the colored article and colored item and, in response, to indicate whether the colored article and the colored structure satisfy a color-matching criterion. / abstract; fig 1-5; col 1, L 4-63; col 3, L 59 to col 4, L 34; col 5, L 5-31, L 65 to col 6, L 29

As per claim 2,

(Amended) The system of claim 1, wherein the computer-driven web-linking engine compares by using a data set that includes a frequency-based color identification code provided for the color of the article and a frequency-based color identification code provided for the color of the structure. / col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52

As per claim 5,

The system of claim 1, further including a computer arrangement generating the image data corresponding to the colored article with the color identification code provided for the color of the article. / col 4, L 17-58; col 5, L 38-64

As per claim 6,

A system for on -line viewing of an article on another structure, comprising:

-means for viewing images at a video display site; and

web-linking means for creating an item from image-data corresponding to a colored article selected by an on-line viewer from the on-line viewer site with an image of a colored structure selected by the on-line viewer, the computer-driven web-linking engine adapted to indicate whether the colored article and the colored structure satisfy a color-

Art Unit: 3624

matching criterion. / abstract; fig 1-5; col 1, L 4-63; col 3, L 59 to col 4, L 34; col 5, L 5-37, L 65 to col 6, L 29

As per claim 7,

(Amended) A method for on-line viewing of an article on another structure, comprising: viewing images at a video display site; and creating an item from image-data corresponding to a colored article selected by an on-line viewer from the on-line viewer site for display with an image of a colored structure selected by the on-line viewer, comparing color codes identifying respective colors of the colored article and colored item, and in response, indicating whether the colored article and the colored structure satisfy a color-matching criterion. / abstract; fig 1-5; col 1, L 4-63; col 3, L 59 to col 4, L 34; col 5, L 5-31, L 65 to col 6, L 29

As per claim 8,

(Amended) A method for on-line viewing of an article on an other structure, comprising: creating an item from image-data corresponding to a colored article selected by an on-line viewer from an on-line viewer site for display with an image of a colored structure selected by an on-line viewer, comparing color codes identifying respective colors of the colored article and colored item, and in response, indicating whether the colored article and the colored structure satisfy a color-matching criterion. / abstract; fig 1-5; col 1, L 4-63; col 3, L 59 to col 4, L 34; col 5, L 5-31, L 65 to col 6, L 29

As per claim 9,

(Amended) The method of claim 8, further including creating the item using a data set that includes a frequency-based color identification code provided for the color of the

Art Unit: 3624

article and a frequency-based color identification code provided for the color of the structure. / col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52

As per claim 12,

The method of claim 8, further including generating the image-data corresponding to the colored article with the color identification code provided for the color of the article. / col 4, L 17-58; col 5, L 38-64

As per claim 13,

(New) The system of claim 1, wherein the color-matching criterion includes a color reference coding chart. / abstract; fig 1-5; col 1, L 4-63; col 3, L 59 to col 4, L 34; col 5, L 5-31, L 65 to col 6, L 29

As per claim 14,

(New) The system of claim 14, wherein the color-reference coding chart is based on measured color frequencies. / col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52 (spectrum as a range of frequencies which are a property of all colors)

As per claim 15,

(New) The system of claim 15, wherein respective colors of the colored article and the colored structure are represented by an electronic color tag that indicates a measurement of the respective colors using the color-reference chart. / col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52

As per claim 16,

(New) The system of claim 16, wherein the electronic tags are part of product codes that identify the respective article and structure. / col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 4 and 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graf et al (US 6,349,300) and in view of Kagami et al. (US 5,974,400).

As per claims 3 and 10,

The system of claim 2, wherein Graf teaches a data set (col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52).

However, Graf does not teach an article size code. Kagami et al teaches an article size code (col 70, L 41-50; fig 27(identifier as a code); fig 30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this limitation for the advantage of offering more product information upon which a customer can make a more informed decision to purchase an article.

As per claim 4 and 11,

The system of claim 3, wherein Graf teaches a the data set (col 5, L 65 to col 6, L 52).

However, Graf does not teach an article style code. Kagami et al teaches an article style code (col 34, L 66 to col 35, L 17; col 50, L 53-62 (style is a preference); col 63, L 15-19; col 96, L 62-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to


Art Unit: 3624

use this limitation for the advantage of offering more product information upon which a customer can make a more informed decision to purchase an article.

This action is NON-FINAL. Please note two additional references were added. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

6 / 13 / 02


VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600